Roll Call
Approval of Minutes
Item 2 Peaches LLC 88-90 Saco Ave. 206-9-7 GB2 Zone
Item Tabled
Item #3 Clifford & Nancy Kenney Variance 30 Maine Ave, 322-7-11 R-3 Zone
Public
Hearing

Monday, September 29, 2008		
Chairman DeLeo and Mr. Denison confirmed that this would be within the same footprint as the existing. Adam Goodwin indicated that it would be.		
Chairman DeLeo called for abutters Helene Whitaker, 32 Maine Ave, directly next door, stated that this was an "absolutely wonderful" thing that is happening and would increase the value of the neighborhood and enhance the area and a great addition to the community.		
Chairman DeLeo read the 4 criteria of approval for a Variance		
With regard to the property yielding a reasonable use without the variance, Mr. Evans asked if they were rebuilding or replacing the structure. Chairman DeLeo advised that they were asking to replace it. The Board agreed that the existing building cannot be replaced on the lot and meet all of the dimensional requirements. The applicant stated reintegrated that in the second criteria of hard ship and the Board agreed. The Board also agreed that the many seasonal cottages in the area have been replaced w/ new year-round structures. Mr Weyenberg was interested in seeing the design of the house. The Board and applicant reviewed a concept plan. The applicant stated that the hardship was a result of the footprint being established prior to modern zoning and the present ordinance disallowing the reconstruction of the structure using existing footprint. The Board agreed.	Motion	
Mr. Weyenberg moved to grant the appeal as presented		
Mr. Denison seconded the Motion	Vote	
Motion carries Unanimously		
ITEM 4: Miscellaneous Appeal: Anne C. Pomroy., Owner of 30 School St., MBL 206-28-29 in the R-1 zone to permit the demolition of a side deck and replace with an expanded sunroom. This request requires a reduction in the right side yard setback. Owner is the appellant.	Item #4 Anne C. Pomroy Miscellaneous Appeal 30 School St., 206-28-29 R1 Zone	
Chairman DeLeo read the appeal.		
Anne Pomeroy explained that she had previously (11 years prior) received a variance for the existing deck and wanted to replace it and expand it with a sunroom. She would like to continue the variance like to extend the footprint 6'8" the rear of the house without further	Public Hearing	

Monday, September 29, 2008	
encroaching toward the side lot line. The structure complies with the rear setback and lot coverage.	
Chairman Deleo asked some clarifying questions, Mr. Denison asked about the date of the original variance. Ms. Pomeroy advised that it was 1996. Mr. Denison asked if the deck was built in a timely fashion after the original variance was granted and the appellant responded that it had been.	
Discussion ensued regarding the project.	
Ms. Pomeroy also advised that she had made an error in her application indicating on the second page that there was an existing 5 foot right side setback when there was really a 10 foot setback.	
Mr. Weyenberg advised that under today's standard the original variance would have been a Miscellaneous appeal.	
Chairman DeLeo called for abutters	
No Abutters were present	
Chairman DeLeo read the 4 criteria of approval for a Miscellaneous Appeal	
The Board agreed that the building predated the date of the adoption of the Miscellaneous Appeal provision. with regard to the second criteria, the applicant stated a number of School St. residents have enclosed sunrooms, the Board agreed. The Board also agreed that the arrangement of the building and configuration of the lot hake this area the most practical for the sunroom and also that the project would not have a different impact than a conforming structure.	
Mr. Evans moved to approved the appeal as presented	Motion
Mr. Weyenberg seconded the Motion	
Motion carries Unanimously	Vote

Monday, September 29, 2008

ITEM 5: Variance: George & Margaret Downes, Owners of 51 School St., MBL 206-24-50, in the R-1 zone to permit the demolition of a portion of the building and replace with a new structure on existing footprint. This request requires a reduction in the front yard setback that abuts the paper street on the left side of the building. Owner is the appellant.

George & Margaret Downes, Variance 51 School St. 206-24-50 R1 Zone

Chairman DeLeo read the appeal

Mr. and Mrs. Downes explained that their insurance company had cancelled their homeowner's coverage do to the condition of the existing garage. The got a permit to tear it down, understanding that they would need to come to the ZBA to get approval to replace it within the footprint. The garage has been torn down.

Mr. Weyenberg asked if they would be putting in a new foundation. The applicant advised that they would be using the existing foundation. Mr. Weyenberg asked about the status of the paper street to the right of the property.

The applicant indicated that it was undeveloped and not used.

Chairman DeLeo called for abutters

No Abutters were present

Chairman DeLeo read the 4 criteria of approval for a Variance

With regard to the property yielding a reasonable use without the variance the applicant stated that they are being deprived of the use of the garage by their insurance company. The storage is desperately needed. The Board agreed. The applicant stated the property is unique because of the paper street and the arrangement of the house. Mr. Evans asked if the insurance company was aware of their plans to rebuild. Mrs. Downes advised that they are not with them any longer. That their agent was aware. Mr. DeLeo asked when the garage was built. The applicant stated that it was in the 1930's or 40's, when the house was built. The Board agreed that the construction would improve the property and the neighborhood and enhance the safety of the inhabitants. The applicant stated that the hardship was a result of the action of the insurance company forcing the demo of the structure and not of actions taken by the owner. The Board agreed.

Public Hearing

Mr. Denison moved to approved the appeal as presented	Motion
Mr. Weyenberg seconded the Motion	
Motion carries Unanimously	Vote
ITEM 6: Variance: Patricia Salvucci., 65 Ocean Avenue, MBL 315-12-4 in the R-2 zone to permit the demolition of a portion of the building and replace with a new structure on approximately the existing footprint. This request requires a reduction in the front, side, rear yard setbacks and lot coverage. Owner is the appellant.	Patricia Salvucci Variance 65 Ocean Avenue, 315-12-4 R-2 zone
Chairman DeLeo read the appeal Leo Mullin, representing the owner, explained that they would like to demo the existing house and detached garage and rebuilding it similar to the original footprint, but eliminating stair encroachments and reducing some of the existing non-conformities but also increasing the lot coverage.	Public Hearing
Mr. Weyenberg and the remainder of the board felt that the footprint expansion was not warranted as there was no hardship. They also felt that the requested increase in lot coverage (from 36% to 42%) was excessive.	
After lengthy discussion regarding deign options, etc.	Itam
Mr. Evans moved to table the variance.	Item Tabled
Mr. Weyenberg seconded the Motion	
Motion carries Unanimously	

<u>ITEM 7: Variance</u> : Francis & Susan McGinty, 15 Randall Avenue, MBL 324-13-4 in the R-3 zone to permit the demolition of the existing front porch and stairs to be rebuilt within the footprint, to demolish the existing garage and replace with a new structure on approximately the existing footprint. This request requires a reduction in the front, side & rear yard setbacks and lot coverage. Owner is the appellant.	Francis & Susan McGinty Variance 15 Randall Ave. 324-13-4 R-3
Chairman DeLeo read the appeal	Public Hearing
Martin Meier, representing the owners explained the appeal. The applicant would like to demo the garage and rebuild, straightening the line to make it a bit more conforming, with a slight enlargement in the area between the garage and dwelling, while non-conforming to the rear yard setback, does not increase the nonconformity and complies with the allowable lot coverage. They would also like to remove the existing front porch and stairs and rebuild them in the footprint.	Hearing
The Board reviewed the submission.	
My Weyenberg asked if they were tearing down the entire structure or just the garage. The Applicant replied that it was just the garage and porch. The Board and Applicant discussed the design.	
Chairman DeLeo called for abutters	
No Abutters were present	
Chairman DeLeo read the 4 criteria of approval for a Variance.	
The applicant stated that the garage and porch are in disrepair and cannot be rebuilt without a variance and the locations are the most practical. The Board agreed. Regarding uniqueness, the applicant asserted that the property is a corner lot with structures that predate the codes. The Board agreed. The Board also agreed that the project would not alter the character of the locality, but improve the property. Finally the applicant stated that the hardship was a result of codes being enacted after the buildings were built that placed the structures into nonconformity. The Board agreed.	
Mr. Weyenberg moved to approved the appeal as presented	Motion
Mr.Denison seconded the Motion	
Motion carries Unanimously	Vote

Approval of Minutes

I, Mike Nugent, Acting Secretary to the Zoning Board of Appeals, do hereby certify that the foregoing document consisting of seven (7) pages is a true copy of the original minutes of the Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting of September 29, 2008.