OLD ORCHARD BEACH PLANNING BOARD Regular Meeting June 9, 2016 - 7:00 PM Town Council Chambers

	er at 7:04 pm	Call to Order
Fortunato. A	Chair Mark Koenigs, Vice Chair Linda Mailhot, Ryan Kelley, Win Winch, Mike Ibsent: Laura Bolduc, Eber Weinstein. Staff: Jeffrey Hinderliter, Planner; Megan ; Assistant Planner, Valdine Camire, Administrative Assistant.	
APPROVA	L OF MINUTES:	
A change of	the dates of the approval of minutes are 5-05-2015 and 5-12-2016.	
Win Winch Mailhot.	made a motion to approve the 5-05-2016 meeting minutes, seconded by Linda	MOTION VOTE (5-0)
Win Winch Mailhot.	made a motion to approve the 05-12-2016 meeting minutes, seconded by Linda	MOTION VOTE (5-0)
ITEM 1 Proposal: Action: Owner: Location:	Conditional Use Amendment of Approved Plan/Appeals from Restrictions on Nonconforming Uses (Overnight Cabins): Change use of 7 units from seasonal to year-round (currently 5 year-round use for a total of 12) Discuss proposal; Board action SRA Varieties Inc., D.B.A. Paul's II 141 Saco Ave., MBL: 311-1-10, GB2 District	<u>ITEM 1</u>
today and cou that they are l comments and the board tabl	er stated that he spoke with the owners and they have some ongoing family commitments ild not make the meeting tonight. Mr. Hinderliter stated that he agrees that it is critical here and can hear the boards comments. They have received some of the Department Head d we do need to receive responses to these comments. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that les this item without prejudice. hade a motion to table this item without prejudice, seconded by Linda Mailhot.	<u>MOTION</u> <u>VOTE</u> <u>Unanimous</u>
ITEM 2 Proposal: Action: Owner: Location:	Zoning District Amendment: Expand the Campground Overlay District over three parcels zoned R1 and GB1 (Paradise Park) Discussion; Schedule Public Hearing Paradise Acquisitions LLC 60 Portland Ave, MBL: 205-1-32; 58 Portland Ave, MBL: 205-1-30 (portion of);	<u>ITEM 2</u>

50 Adelaide Rd, MBL: 106-2-2 (portion of)

involves parced are proposed to Park campgrou separates) Thi Paradise Park of parcels to the O Mr. Hinderliter the campgroun not ruling on th Mr. Hinderliter As part of the p notification be Chair Koenigs properties for to from the town? Ryan Kelly wo the actual addr Owner Mike st approximately oversite and go by the owners Roger Tousign is conforming	uld like to see what the areas of the proposed expansion are currently zoned and what esses are. ated that there was a parcel of land that they bought from Roger Tousignant 18 years ago which was not included in the overlay district. He believes that it was an at missed somehow. Ultimately 2 of the 3 parcels that are in question have been owned since they have owned the property. Bought the property prior to 2003. ant from 46 Portland Avenue introduced himself and asked if Paradise Park campground or non-conforming? Planner Hinderliter stated that this as well as all the campgrounds in	
ITEM 3 Proposal: Action: Applicant: Location:	Major Subdivision and Site Plan: 40 unit condominium project Preliminary Plan Review: Schedule Site Walk and Public Hearing Church Street LLC 164 Saco Ave., MBL: 208-1-9, GB1 & R4	<u>ITEM 3</u>
They were last a preliminary a GB-1 and R-4 34 cape style 1 water indicatin public sewer a project will ha will be 20' wic have a sidewal units will be co runs across the properties so th pump for each main. There w the street. Goin	, Project Engineer from BH2M introduced himself along with his partner Walter Pelkey. here in March with a concept plan. The project is Church Street Station. The submitted pplication dated April 2016. This is an 11.2 acre parcel on Saco Avenue split with a Zone. They are proposing 40 units (condo stand alone units) no garages, units are 28 x ½ stories. Received comments from public works, fire, police and letter from Maine g their approval of availability of water to serve this site, will be served by public water, nd underground utilities. This is the site of the recently closed Catholic Church. The we 2 access points with existing curb cuts. The first entrance closest to Jameson Hill road le and the second entrance will be 24' wide and will be built to towns standards. Will k network in the entire project. No issues with traffic. The sewer system on the front oming out by gravity into the main sewer line and connect into an existing sewer line that front of the property. On the back properties, there is a stream going down through the ne gravity fed will not work to come across there. They are proposing an E-1 (grinder) house which will have a forced main that will pump into a small 2" low pressure forced ll be an 8" water main coming in with a hydrant along with an existing hydrant out at ag to collect the storm water with a series of catch basins and culverts. The roadway curved so they can manage the stormwater. They will have a vegetative soil filter in the	

	1 45
front and 2 by the Jameson entrance that will meet the standards for stormwater. Easy to build and easy to maintain. DEP will mandate inspection frequency with any improvements outlined in the stormwater report. There will be Condominium Association which will manage the improvements. They will need a DEP stormwater permit. In addition to there will be a stream grossing (NPRA) permit. They have a	
a DEP stormwater permit. In addition to there will be a stream crossing (NRPA) permit. They have a pre application meeting with DEP scheduled.	
Mr. Thompson went through the question from Public Works, Police and Fire about the underground	
utilities for electric, cable and television and will there be conduit and they will, in fact be laying	
conduit.	
Public works would like for them to note that this will be a private road. The vegetative soil filters which he already explained, maintenance will be minimal. The DEP will mandate in the submission of a report on the performance of these. They will look into ADA requirements warning tactile pad	
devices at the cross walks are shown (request for blue). There is no parking at the pool. Will not have trash pickup by the town, may have a dumpster or individual cans for each homeowner. Adding a series	
of street lamps along the sidewalks. ADA ramp designs will meet the standards. Fire Department would like for them to extend the turnaround at the end another 12'. This is a full 24' wide roadway	
with a 4' sidewalk proposed. Chair Koenigs asked to get the Board Members the revised May 2016 stormwater submission packet to	
at least include the narrative and also the maintenance document to review.	
Mr. Koenigs stated that there is a 3 rd stream along the rear of the property for Mr. Thompson to be	
aware of.	
Chair Koenigs asked about the 2 maps that are required by town ordinance.	
USGS map that is dated 1970. Mr. Thompson stated that this is the latest map. The flood plain map is dated 1984 and it doesn't show the stream. Mr. Thompson stated that this is not	
a 100 year storm so it wouldn't show the stream.	
Vice Chair Mailhot ask if there was any intention on having a development sign on site?	
Mr. Thompson stated that there will be street signs and stop signs, but as far as a development sign, he	
will ask and get back to the board.	
Mr. Thompson stated that this is going to be a condominium project. Mr. Koenigs asked Mr. Thompson to add N/A to the boxes that have no checkmarks.	
Chair Koenigs also stated that he is very pro open spaces, wetland preserved and that there is	
conservation of land that is not going to be developed and maintained as a natural state as much as it can be.	
The owners of the property should be told to get the stream cleaned out from the timber harvesting that	
they have done. Also there are trails from the Jameson School that DPW built with bridges and put trails in, but since the timber harvesting that trail way is obliterated and hard to follow. Is there any	
connection all the way down to Summer winds? Mr. Thompson will talk to the clients. Mr. Thompson stated that the Sanitary Sewer report will be coming and looked at by DEP.	
The Capacity of the connection point will be coming from the Superintendent of the Wastewater Treatment facility.	
Also received letter from Maine Water with their approval to supply to this project.	
Town Planner and Town clerk has received the payments for the application needs to be checked.	
Assessor Official needs to sign the application.	
Chair Koenigs stated that the board should be taking the comments from the sketch plan and making	
sure they were incorporated into the preliminary plans we have now. One of the things brought to Mr. Koenigs attentions by one of the town members is that there is a	
redwood tree in the front of the church and is something that the town should investigate as to the	
history of that tree.	
Mr. Thompson also stated is that a solid 6' fence be located as a buffering between Duffy's restaurant.	
Mr. Hinderliter stated that it would be good to get an idea of what the homes will look like. The setback for the back property line is 20' rear setback.	
Chair Koenigs stated that we are not following the ordinance that states that we should give the	
angligation condition annexed of the medianing multiplicated he has 6 months to finish it and some heals	1

application condition approval of the preliminary plan and he has 6 months to finish it and come back to the board. Win Winch stated that is when we call the application complete.

		1 age 4 01 7
Members don't e The site walk wi	stated that we can schedule a public hearing for a preliminary (final) plan or the Board even need to schedule a public hearing by ordinance. Ill be held on July 7, 2016 at 5:30 pm. then we will schedule the 2 nd public hearing on 6 Planning Board meeting.	
	nt asked what the unit density is for the GB-1 and R-4 zones. Stated that it is 5,000 sf. per unit or 8 units per acre of buildable land.	
Action: Owner:	Subdivision Amendment: Creation of connecting road between Juniper and Kapok, lot line change Pre-application Review; Schedule Site Walk and Public Hearing (if necessary) HP Developers LLC Juniper and Kapok Streets (Homewood Park), MBL: 402-2-4,5,6,7. R5	<u>ITEM 4</u>
 Planner Hinderlii isn't really a sub ordinances for the developed. Then in looking at som One of the under most part, if the is not much the I the things within the subdivision. The rem Juniper S 	A ter gave a brief update on this project. When it comes to land use and the fact that this division of land, it predates sub division statutes and it predates our sub division he most part. Homewood Park has smaller lots and about half of Homewood Park is re is one primary property in the remainder of Homewood Park and they are interested in phased development. Veloped parts of the project that triggers some Planning Board review because in the developer builds exactly as it was approved back in the 1960's, due to the laws, there Planning Board can do. There is staff level review unless you begin to change some of a that subdivision such as this does. This proposal is proposing some minor changes to noval of two lots to create a connector road in between two existing paper streets, Street and Kapok. Iand left over that is going to two lots north of this proposed extension road that will to non-conforming lots more conforming in terms of both frontage and area.	
connection road Chair Koenigs al Planner Hinderli Insure th Serves th	inge is an adjustment to the lot lines. We have the responsibility to make sure that the is designed as function as it is supposed to. Iso added that there is going to driveways in the right of way. Iter went over the standards: nat the road is built properly he development as it should are the lot adjustments are ok.	
One of the reaso recommended for Mr. Hinderliter i They would like The subdivision Mr. Hinderliter i	at staff has looked at this and is comfortable with this proposal ns why these changes is coming to the Planning Board is because of what staff has or the developer to move forward. Is looking for some guidance from the Planning Board for the developer as to what to see for the next meeting. amendments have no standards directly attached to the subdivision amendment. Is recommending that the Planning Board schedule a final review on July 14, 2016 and ters that are not part of the ownership of the lots that surround this area, he would not ablic hearing.	
His client owns a	applicant's surveyor made his presentation to the Board Members. a number of lots and they have decided to move forward in three phases. g at developing the green phase which includes Homewood, Juniper and Kapok and	

		I age 5 of 7
doesn't control Gotto counted don't impact the what they do o was clear that s Mr. Gotto also eliminating the process. The directions the standards the Mt. Gotto state They still need	tots to town standards so that they can develop the lots that come off of it. His client all of the lots in the first phase. They are looking at about 3,170' of roadway and Mr. 51 lots that could get access. They control 38. Not only do they have to make sure they he abutters properties that they don't own, but they have to make the road standards fit in wn. They have rights to build the road with staff and they will go forward with that. It staff (police, fire and public works) did not want dead end roads. added that there are a number of dead end roads in this development and they are esse dead ends the best that they can. Their intent is to design the road through staff that Chair Koenigs wants to give the applicant is to work with the town to come up with hat are consistent with the rest of the roads. d that the structure of the roads will be to the current standards. to get a stormwater permit through DEP and will likely need a wetland permit as well. e agreed with the town that they will build the roads.	
	questioned that in reading Chapter 50-Section 213 after the Planning Board goes proval of the amendment of the two lots does the town council have to approve the road?	
They also need	ained that they have agreed with the town that they will build these roads. I the town council or town office to agree that the design standards they are going to use m. They will also work with the town on how they will build the utilities.	
public right of biking/pedestri Chair Koenigs	stated that there is an ATV trail up to the property line that has a connection in the way and suggested to let them continue the trail to the sidewalk and that the an systems need to be thought of as we develop these areas. will talk with Marc Guimond, DPW Director and ask him that when he reviews the plan about the pedestrian right of way.	
The road width	for Kapok and Juniper will be 20' plus the sidewalk and they have a 50' right of way.	
There will be n Chair Koenigs	will bring back to the board a survey plan for them to approve. To public hearing. stated that since Ryan Kelly is here at this meeting tonight, that he is an alternate but as rnal procedures, he will be allowed to vote at the July 14, 2016 meeting.	
Design Review	v Certificates	<u>DESIGN</u> REVIEW
ITEM 1 Proposal: Action: Owner: Location:	Parking lot buffer plan Certificate of Appropriateness Decision Good Shepard Parish 6 Saco Ave., MBL: 206-30-1, DD-2	<u>STANDARDS</u> <u>ITEM 1</u>
The church wa	s trying to sell the parsonage and nothing ever happened.	
property. This Design Review What the DRC parking lot. Th	to other options and one of the options was to expand the ability to park out on this proposal is in one of our Design Review district and the applicants went before the 7 Committee (DRC) beginning with meetings from last June 2015(1 year ago). was considering at that time was the demo of the parsonage and also the creation of the ne DRC continued to review this proposal and they were primarily focused on the ect of this proposal. During the September 2015 meeting the DRC decided to allow the	

demo. The DRC split the application into to. The first part was the demolition and the second part was the buffer plan associated with the parking lot. DRC approve the demo and had a couple of conditions attached. Two had been met and the third one remains. In October 2015 the Planning Board approved DRC's decision. In November 2015 the parking lot buffer plan was submitted to DRC. They felt comfortable with the over buffer plan. In December 2015 Code Enforcement approve the demo permit. April 2016 the church and Code Office met and discussed codes authorizing the church parking lot to move forward. Codes had authority under the DD-2 and DD-1 standards to approve this use as well as other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood l
the buffer plan associated with the parking lot. DRC approve the demo and had a couple of conditions attached. Two had been met and the third one remains. In October 2015 the Planning Board approved DRC's decision. In November 2015 the parking lot buffer plan was submitted to DRC. They felt comfortable with the over buffer plan. In December 2015 Code Enforcement approve the demo permit. April 2016 the church and Code Office met and discussed codes authorizing the church parking lot to move forward. Codes had authority under the DD-2 and DD-1 standards to approve this use as well as other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parksh. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they din't need lighting except in the winter mont
attached. Two had been met and the third one remains. In October 2015 the Planning Board approved DRC's decision. In November 2015 the parking lot buffer plan was submitted to DRC. They felt comfortable with the over buffer plan. In December 2015 Code Enforcement approve the demo permit. April 2016 the church and Code Office met and discussed codes authorizing the church parking lot to move forward. Codes had authority under the DD-2 and DD-1 standards to approve this use as well as other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parks. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
DRC's decision. In November 2015 the parking lot buffer plan was submitted to DRC. They felt comfortable with the over buffer plan. In December 2015 Code Enforcement approve the demo permit. April 2016 the church and Code Office met and discussed codes authorizing the church parking lot to move forward. Codes had authority under the DD-2 and DD-1 standards to approve this use as well as other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
comfortable with the over buffer plan. In December 2015 Code Enforcement approve the demo permit. April 2016 the church and Code Office met and discussed codes authorizing the church parking lot to move forward. Codes had authority under the DD-2 and DD-1 standards to approve this use as well as other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
comfortable with the over buffer plan. In December 2015 Code Enforcement approve the demo permit. April 2016 the church and Code Office met and discussed codes authorizing the church parking lot to move forward. Codes had authority under the DD-2 and DD-1 standards to approve this use as well as other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
April 2016 the church and Code Office met and discussed codes authorizing the church parking lot to move forward. Codes had authority under the DD-2 and DD-1 standards to approve this use as well as other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter' s attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
move forward. Codes had authority under the DD-2 and DD-1 standards to approve this use as well as other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they din't need lighting except in the winter months.
other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
other items. The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the parking lot buffer glan but would like to econfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parksh. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
The church began creating the parking lot. It was brought to Mr. Hinderliter's attention and he informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
 informed the church and codes that it cannot move forward because there are conditions associated with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
 with the September 2015 approval that requires that the church first secure recommendation from the DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
DRC. The church stopped the work. Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
Recently the proposal went to the DRC and the DRC determined is that they were comfortable with the parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
parking lot buffer plan but would like to see lighting that is more compatible with the downtown lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
lighting. DRC feels that this doesn't fall within their jurisdiction, they mostly regulate the esthetics of buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
 buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
 buildings. The DRC is leaving the final decision up to the Planning Board. Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
Recommendation: Codes and Planning were comfortable with the proposal. The one remaining item is the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
 the lighting. However we still have the one condition that is remaining from September 2015 approval which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
 which is the execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
 parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
 parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
Final comment: Codes did not approve this as a commercial property. Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
Mr. Hinderliter recommends that the Planning Board approve this item. Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
Bob Quinn from the Ross Road introduced himself representing the parish. He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
He stated that the church, at one time had flood lights on the rectory but haven't had them in some time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
time. They decided that they didn't need lighting except in the winter months.
They are proposing putting one flood light on Adelaide Road and one on the Saco Ave. side.
Win Winch made a motion to approve the St. Margaret's parking lot buffer plan and to have one CMP MOTION
flood light on Adelaide Road and one on Saco Ave. Seconded by Mike Fortunato.
They amended St. Margaret's Church to Good Shephard's Parish. All agreed.
Jeffrey Hinderliter called for the vote: VOTE
Mile Fortunata Vac
Mike Fortunato – Yes (5-0)
Ryan Kelly – Yes
Win Winch – Yes
Vice Chair Linda Mailhot – Yes
Chair Mark Koenigs - Yes
Other Business
Chair Koenigs stated that they had talked about the ordinances at the workshop meeting,
Planning Board ordinances and discussions. They had 6 items that they had asked Mr.
Hinderliter to look through the ordinances and put them in an organized fashion.
The headings are:
-
Appeals from restriction and non-conforming uses.
Plan amendments
Architectural Design standards
Waivers
Meeting consistency

Housekeeping	
They have a long term plan to get the ordinances conformed. Also once the comprehensive plan is in place the ordinances will again be revised. Recommendation to the Town Council. The Town Planner and Assistant Town Planner will take some time and propose some of the items that they think the Planning Board should address when they come back in September.	
The Town Planner and Assistant Town Planner is still pulling information in regards to Dunegrass. What is left that is undeveloped from the remaining lots.	
GOOD AND WELFARE	
The town has been working with Saco on the Goose fare Water shed. For those in the community that are aware of the Goose fare Brook and its impaired stream, it had been brought to light and pushed forward by John Bird who recently passed away. A document that has been prepared by consultants of the town with Saco, the group has dedicated a document in John Birds name.	
"This plan is dedicated to the memory of John Bird. John's presence and perseverance helped bring Goose fare Brook into the public's eye and was felt through every stage of this project. His caring nature, local insights and thoughtful advocacy will be remembered as we move forward with restoration efforts".	
Chair Koenigs stated that this document is available to the public on the website. This is a 15 year plan to get the stream back to the way it used to be.	
ADJOURNMENT	
MARK KOENIGS, CHAIR	
Meeting adjourned at 9:29 pm	Adjournment

I, Valdine Camire, Administrative Assistant to the Planning Board of the Town of Old Orchard Beach, do hereby certify that the foregoing document consisting of Seven (7) pages is a true copy of the original minutes of the Planning Board Meeting of June 9, 2016.

Valdine amire