

1 **Old Orchard Beach Planning Board**  
2 **August 10, 2017 7:00 PM**  
3 **Town Council Chambers**

4 CALL TO ORDER  
5 PLEDGE TO THE FLAG  
6

7 **ROLL CALL:** Robin Dube, Ryan Kelly, Win Winch, Mike Fortunato, Chair Linda Mailhot, Vice Chair Eber  
8 Weinstein. **Absent:** Mark Koenigs. **Staff Present:** Town Planner Jeffrey Hinderliter, Assistant Town  
9 Planner Megan McLaughlin.

10  
11 **PUBLIC HEARING**

12 **ITEM 1**

13 **Proposal:** Site plan review  
14 **Action:** Expansion of an existing non-residential retail building  
15 **Owner:** Harold H. Harrisburg, Phyllis I. Harrisburg, and Harrisburg Group Gen Partnership  
16 **Location:** 9 East Grand Ave, MBL 306-2-6  
17

18 **Public hearing opened at 7:02 PM**

19 Lisa Gribbin, 5 Kinney Ave: Many people, and you can see it in the photos sent, have raised issue with  
20 the fork lift coming into contact with the CMP Power lines. CMP has a ten foot clearance they ask for  
21 and unless they move the lines, they won't be able to use the fork lift.

22 The fire chief has answered an email in regards to closing and blocking off Kinney Ave, which it won't  
23 according to him as it is the only exit.

24 There is a possibility of people trespassing, while they are constructing this project, which would not be  
25 okay.

26 The plans have not gone through the fire marshal, and if he has any requests as to what needs to be  
27 changed, that needs to come back to the PB.  
28

29 George Kerr, 206 East Grand Ave, and Owner of property that abuts 9 East Grand: In 2002 it was a  
30 novelty store, and in 2017 it is now a retail store. Any use that benefits the town is great, but  
31 warehousing and wholesale is not permitted and wouldn't be good for that area. In regards to the  
32 forklift, a conveyer belt on the interior would be better and could be done easily. There are four  
33 different LLC's associated with the building, which raises some red flags.

34 **Public hearing closed at 7:11 PM**  
35

36 **ITEM 2**

37 **Proposal:** Major subdivision, 20 lot cluster subdivision for single family residential use known as  
38 Eastern Trail Estates  
39 **Owner:** Ross Rd LLC  
40 **Location:** Ross Rd., MBL 107-1-4, 14, 16  
41

1 **Public hearing opened at 7:11 PM**

2 Eric Beattie, 17 Pearl Ave: How is the applicant planning on accessing Easy St without deeded right, even  
3 though they need a second form of egress, which they don't have and would need from Easy St, which  
4 they don't have rights to. Easy St is also a dirt road, which, according to Old Orchard Beach ordinances,  
5 can only service five homes, it currently services seven. The applicant says that they have rights to one,  
6 and that two are in Saco, but they have already started construction on the third.

7  
8 Ron Hinkle, 81 Ross Road: Ross Road is too small for the RV's that come through and cannot handle  
9 more traffic for the safety of anyone who uses it.

10  
11 Lisa Bartley, 105 Ross Rd: There was a pond and a stream that has remained dry for a period of time and  
12 there is question to how a new subdivision across the street will affect that and also questions about the  
13 curb cuts.

14  
15 Tim Smith, 2 Whispering Pines Dr.: People on the Ross Rd have wells and there is concern about water  
16 runoff.

17  
18 Kathy Smith, 2 Whispering Pines Dr.: This is a rural zone, and should stay that way as it would take away  
19 the aesthetic if you made it residential.

20  
21 Dianne Malloy, 126 Ross Rd: You can't see the traffic coming down the street from this property.

22 **Public hearing closed at 7:37 PM**

23  
24 **ITEM 3**

25 **Proposal:** Site plan review, expansion of existing corps and administration building, parking lot  
26 construction, building, demo, landscaping and site work

27 **Owner:** Salvation Army

28 **Location:** 6<sup>th</sup> St, Union Ave, Church St, Oakland Ave, 15<sup>th</sup> St, MBL 311-6-1,12,8 & 311-4-1,2,3,4,5

29  
30 **Public hearing opened at 7:37 PM**

31 Mikayla Nadeau, 29 15<sup>th</sup> St: There are rumors about making 15<sup>th</sup> St a one way, which would be bad both  
32 ways in either the winter or summer. The reason this is such a big deal is because there were houses  
33 torn down without anyone's knowledge and if the project continues without letting abutters know, then  
34 there will be a problem.

35  
36 Mike Vallante, 11 Oakland Ave: There is concern about fire safety as the back of the proposed building  
37 and 11 Oakland Ave are fifteen feet apart only. There is a fire safety issue, a nose issue, lighting and air  
38 circulation issue. This would significantly lower the quality of life for the people at this property and be a  
39 safety hazard. The town has a fifteen foot setback ordinance and there is property that is labeled as the  
40 Salvation Army's in their plan, but it is in fact not and that should be resolved for safety.

1 There is an issue of drugs being used; there are places around the pavilion notorious for smoking  
2 marijuana and drinking alcohol, there are also areas where hard drugs are found.  
3 Blasting during construction could result in damage to surrounding houses, and there has been no  
4 discussion as to how the construction will avoid damage to property. The Salvation Army should be held  
5 responsible for any losses that ensue.  
6 Any equipment and units being used for this project causes a noise issue and the lights should be agreed  
7 upon before LED lighting is put in and ruins the neighborhood.  
8 Delivery truck deliveries and times should be included in the proposal and should be adhered as the  
9 trucks have been sitting in the street.

10

11 Christopher Bell, 70 Washington Ave: The traffic at the top of Church Street and the buses that take  
12 people from the parking lots to the pavilion sometimes sit in the road for up to two hours and block that  
13 entire area. There were twenty parking spots and now there are sixty, and all these vehicles are going to  
14 hit a bus, hit people or sit there.

15

16 Brenda Dowling, 1 Sandpiper Rd: The New York Salvation Army is directing what is going on so that they  
17 can come for one week and everything that is being torn down is affecting people here and some don't  
18 see much benefit to the town, only destruction of places such as the tabernacle.

19

20 Dan Beaulieu, 76 Washington Ave: The shuttle busses and school busses come through the street and  
21 block driveways.

22

23 John Wilson, 43 15<sup>th</sup> St: The infrastructure of that area hasn't been looked at close enough in terms of  
24 paving and parking lots. The drainage is also a concern as this area was campground.

25

26 Margorie Swyers, 3 Maplewood Ave: There is a lot of water runoff that all end up in this driveway and  
27 has been looked at by the town on multiple occasions and should be taken into consideration with these  
28 new plans.

29 **Public hearing closed at 8:05 PM**

30

31 **ITEM 4**

32 **Proposal: Conditional Use, Home occupation, psychic readings**

33 **Owner: Mary and Greg Desjardins**

34 **Location: 94 Saco Ave, MBL 206-5-10**

35

36 **Public hearing opened at 8:05 PM**

37 Shirley Hamel, 6 Pine Ln: A tenant in the home is operating this business and not the owner. There are a  
38 lot of safety concerns, one being the steps and railing. The parking is not on Saco Ave, it is on Pine Lane  
39 and that is a residential neighborhood.

40

1 Mike Hamel, 6 Pine Ln: There is a lot more traffic when they operate, and the street is very narrow  
2 which is a concern for public safety.

3  
4 Justine Wood, 3 Pine Ln: People park on Pine lane and go through the door on Pine Lane and all of the  
5 lights are facing Pine Lane. There should be a time when the lights shut off and a definite door that  
6 people enter and exit from that should be the door they showed on the site walk, not the one from Pine  
7 Ln.

8  
9 Neal Weinstein, Applicants Attorney: This is not in a residential zone, it is a business zone, with Shelly's  
10 down the street on the busiest street in Old Orchard (Saco Ave). The parking will only be one person by  
11 appointment, not a lot of people sitting around the building.

12  
13 Nicholas Disalvo, 92 Saco Ave: We have no problem with this proposal and didn't have a problem when  
14 it was a real estate agents office.

15 **Public Hearing closed at 8:13 PM**

16  
17 **Regular Business**

18  
19 Approval of minutes, 07/06, 07/13

20  
21 **Motion to approve the 07/06 minutes with a correction to page 2, change "wither" to "either" by Win  
22 Winch, second by Mike Fortunato.**

- 23 Win Winch – Yes
- 24 Mike Fortunato – Yes
- 25 Ryan Kelley – Yes
- 26 Chair Mailhot – Yes
- 27 Vice Chair Weinstein - Yes

28 **Motion Carried (5-0)**

29  
30 **Motion to approve the 07/13 minutes by Win Winch, second by Ryan Kelley.**

- 31 Win Winch – Yes
- 32 Mike Fortunato – Yes
- 33 Ryan Kelley – Yes
- 34 Chair Mailhot – Yes
- 35 Vice Chair Weinstein - Yes

36 **Motion Carried (5-0)**

37  
38 **ITEM 5**

39 **Proposal: Site plan review, Expansion of an existing non-residential retail building**  
40 **Action: Discussion with final ruling**  
41 **Owner: Harold H. Harrisburg, Phyllis I. Harrisburg, and Harrisburg Group Gen Partnership**

1 **Location: 9 East Grand Ave, MBL 306-2-6**

2

3 Jeffery Hinderliter, Town Planner: There has been a request from the former representative to table this  
4 and the town attorney will speak on that.

5

6 Town Attorney Jim Katsiaficas: Within thirty days of public hearing the board is supposed to make a  
7 decision on the application, the next meeting is September 14<sup>th</sup>, which is more than thirty days away. If  
8 you are to table this item you could make a decision at the workshop on September 7<sup>th</sup>, and the  
9 applicant also has the right to request two extensions of up to thirty days but has to write a request.

10

11 Chair Linda Mailhot: Neil Weinstein did leave me a voicemail requesting this extension and in the past  
12 we have accepted verbal requests, but if Neil could hand something in writing to Jeffery right now that  
13 would be good.

14

15 Neal Weinstein (former) applicant attorney: I can do that.

16

17 **Motion to table the item without prejudice until the next regular business meeting by**

18 **Win Winch, second by Mike Fortunato.**

19 Win Winch – Yes

20 Mike Fortunato – Yes

21 Ryan Kelley – Yes

22 Chair Mailhot – Yes

23 Vice Chair Weinstein- Abstain

24 **Motion Carried (4-0-1)**

25

26 **ITEM 6**

27 **Proposal: Major subdivision, 20 lot cluster subdivision for single family residential use known as**  
28 **Eastern Trail Estates**

29 **Action: Discussion, waiver ruling, preliminary plan ruling, final ruling**

30 **Owner: Ross Rd LLC**

31 **Location: Ross Rd, MBL 107-1-4, 14&16**

32

33 Megan McLaughlin, Assistant Town Planner: The purpose of tonight’s meeting was to gather comments  
34 from the public and to make a ruling on the preliminary plan; the new materials that you have for  
35 August include updated design plans, updated application materials and answers to the Wright-Pierce  
36 comments. The planning board had some concerns about the previous use of this site, which was a junk  
37 yard, and Jeffery, Linda and I met with Randy McMullen from DEP who has no concerns saying that the  
38 materials are likely inert and there is an email about that in your memo. Secondly, there are some waver  
39 requests that are associated with the proposal; at the July meeting the Planning Board voted to table  
40 the waiver request for a second means of egress over fifteen lots—the PB wanted additional  
41 information on the ownership of Easy St, so a deed has been submitted for the August meeting that

1 appears to show an easement that the applicant has to use Easy St. The assessor's office did review this  
2 deed, but they recommended that the matter be turned over to the town attorney. I reached out to the  
3 town attorney and asked:

- 4 1. Would this easement allow the applicant to use Easy St to obtain a second means of egress?
- 5 2. How would this work with the future owners in the subdivision?
- 6 3. How should the PB treat the thirteen or so additional lots that could be approved in Saco that  
7 could utilize Mary's Way.

8 I haven't heard back from him yet, but I expect to have an update on that for the September meeting.  
9 There are some issues with the site distance on Ross Rd. On a 35mph road it's 350 feet of site distance  
10 per passenger cars and 475 feet for a single unit truck. The ordinance does allow for a 30% reduction in  
11 this but states: Where it is impossible to meet these site distance standards due to physical conditions, a  
12 maximum variance of 30% may be permitted in accordance with the waiver provisions in section 74-34.  
13 We recommend that the applicant submit a formal waiver for this that explains why it is impossible to  
14 meet the site distance standards due to the physical conditions. Wright-Pierce will also want these  
15 comments in September, from the applicant.

16 There were some questions about how the project will function with the Saco piece. At the last meeting  
17 the applicant said that they would have non-restricted access to the Eastern Trail, and that should be  
18 included in the plan.

19 There are Wright-Pierce comments that are minor that were submitted in august but those are issues  
20 we can work out with the applicant.

21 Our recommendations are to discuss the issues raised tonight—what can be solved by explanation and  
22 what needs to be submitted in the plan—the project can receive a preliminary plan vote tonight but we  
23 recommend that it be conditioned on receiving all these correction and materials identified by the  
24 board.

25

26 Bill Thompson, Applicant Engineer: When we were last here, we got down to a few items. The junk yard  
27 issue has now been resolved. We needed another waiver request that was for the second entrance,  
28 when and if a second phase of this project is built it would connect out to Easy St and eliminate the dead  
29 end road. The deed, which is part of your packet, which I submitted on July 18<sup>th</sup> is from the owner, Kevin  
30 Beaulieu's, attorney and states his rights to Easy St. The waiver on site distance is unique with the single  
31 unit truck, which I don't know what that would include. Our site distances are over 350 feet with the  
32 30% reduction.

33 This project will bring out a fire hydrant which will be good for the neighborhood.

34 Wright-Pierce brought up the issue of a temporary turnaround, which would be on Mary's way, and if  
35 we continue this road, it won't be a dead end.

36 We are not here for the Saco subdivision, there are 20 lots in Old Orchard Beach that we are requesting.  
37 When and if anything happens in Saco, Old Orchard will be notified as an abutter and we will come back  
38 for the road that would access Saco.

39

40 Vice Chair Weinstein: Lot three appears to be through a wetland, what will this be?

41

1 Bill Thompson: There is a provision for filling or altering a wetland, we are proposing to fill this. We are  
2 not required to make a new wetland unless we alter 15,000 sq.ft.  
3  
4 Vice Chair Weinstein: Our engineer required a .005 inches per feet.  
5  
6 Bill Thompson: We have .004, which would carry the water in a 12 inch pipe at a velocity of 3pps which  
7 is a cleansing velocity.  
8  
9 Vice Chair Weinstein: If Wright-Pierce agrees then we don't have a problem, but if they don't agree then  
10 there's a problem.  
11 Also there were a few places where the coverage was not adequate.  
12  
13 Bill Thompson: We have adjusted that.  
14  
15 Vice Chair Weinstein: There were questions about drainage across Ross Rd. where no one knows where  
16 it's draining.  
17  
18 Bill Thompson: It occupies the wet land area and leaves the detention rate steady.  
19  
20 Vice Chair Weinstein: You state in the application that there is no need for snow storage as it will go to  
21 the shoulders of the road, but we always make sure there is a place for snow storage.  
22  
23 Bill Thompson: Not every road has snow storage.  
24  
25 Vice Chair Weinstein: There should be something on the plan about the access to the Eastern Trail. I was  
26 also looking at the easement to Easy St, and it is not a good road.  
27  
28 Bill Thompson: We would build a road.  
29  
30 Vice Chair Weinstein: We would need to know if that was okay, as this road is to be used as egress and  
31 ingress, not for you to build a new road while there houses on the road.  
32  
33 Chair Linda Mailhot: Do we know if the fire chief commented on the turnaround, because it looks  
34 smaller than what it should.  
35 Megan McLaughlin: He commented in January saying that the turning radius must meet the dimensions  
36 of the department's aerial truck, but I will bring that to his attention now.  
37  
38 Mike Fortunato: When you get the fifteen homes built, where is the second form of egress going to go?  
39  
40 Bill Thompson: Easy St extends to Saco.  
41

1 Vice Chair Weinstein: You mentioned earlier that you're going to run the water line though Ross Rd, why  
2 is that?

3  
4 Bill Thompson: It would be for the hydrant, and it would be an eight inch pipe, which is required for  
5 hydrants.

6  
7 Vice Chair Weinstein: What's the size of the line on the Eastern Trail?

8  
9 Bill Thompson: I believe it is 12 inches.

10  
11 Chair Linda Mailhot: The one formal waiver request is the number of lots with one form of egress.

12  
13 Jeffery Hinderliter: It is really important that we receive feedback from our attorney about the access to  
14 Easy St. before we can make a decision about the waiver request.

15  
16 Chair Linda Mailhot: We need a written waiver request for the site distances, and we are looking for a  
17 plan note about the Eastern Trail access.

18  
19 Megan McLaughlin: Stephanie at Wright-Pierce is having someone look at that and those comments  
20 should be back before the September meeting.

21  
22 Jeffery Hinderliter: I recommend that we pursue this with the help of a traffic engineer, because we are  
23 looking at the numbers and not the "hump" in the road that is the problem.

24  
25 **ITEM 7**

26 **Proposal: Site plan review, expansion of existing corps and administration building, parking lot**  
27 **construction, building, demo, landscaping and site work**

28 **Owner: Salvation Army**

29 **Location: 6<sup>th</sup> St, Union Ave, Church St, Oakland Ave, 15<sup>th</sup> St, MBL 311-6-1,12,8 & 311-4-1,2,3,4,5**  
30

31 Jeffery Hinderliter, Town Planner: Tonight we had a public hearing and had some good discussion. This is  
32 scheduled for final decision but the PB is not obligated to make a final decision, we do have thirty days.  
33 At our last meeting, the PB granted waivers for the Church St. access associated with the parking lot.

34 There were a number of primary outstanding issues:

- 35 1. Ownership of the utility line.
- 36 2. The town ability to access and maintain the utility lines.
- 37 3. Continued ponding of storm water in certain locations.

38 A lot of these comments received extensive peer review from Wright-Pierce. One of the problems that  
39 we ran into was that public works has not commented on this problem although we have reached out to  
40 them many times. There is considerable public interest in this issue and there were some good points  
41 brought up. In regards to the traffic, the applicant used the same traffic engineer as we use. The heads



1 of all departments are allowed to see these applications and there are some concerns that have been  
2 brought up by the fire chief and police chief. The fire chief is concerned about the tabernacle because it  
3 would go up in flames if anything were to happen. One thing that we need to take into consideration is  
4 public claim being based on emotion and not fact. So we need to remember to look at the evidence  
5 from the professionals and look into some of these comments tonight. There is a condition in the memo  
6 that addresses the three questions about utility lines if you are comfortable voting on that. The other  
7 condition that was also brought up tonight was the boundary survey that was done and the property  
8 that is directly on that setback.

9

10 Chair Linda Mailhot: Is this project going to be subject to a DEP permit?

11

12 Jeffery Hinderliter: Yes, either a permit by rule or the full NERPA permit.

13

14 Chair Linda Mailhot: You said that that the boundary survey had been done, but I thought it wasn't done  
15 yet.

16

17 Jeffery Hinderliter: It hasn't been done yet.

18

19 Neil Raposa, Engineer from Civil Consultants: We have been assured that it will be done by the next  
20 meeting in September.

21

22 Vice Chair Weinstein: The application doesn't indicate who owns the utility lines.

23

24 Jeffery Hinderliter: We were looking for public works to tell us, and that is one of the three outstanding  
25 issues.

26

27 Vice Chair Weinstein: It is not the applicants fault, but I would like to see that addressed so we have all  
28 the information.

29

30 Jeffery Hinderliter: There is a condition that we put into the memo that they couldn't begin construction  
31 until the question of who owns this is answered.

32

33 Neil Raposa, Engineer from Civil Consultants: In our storm water plan we have our testing and Wright-  
34 Pierce agreed with it. We have storm water maintenance and inspection plan, but we don't know who  
35 owns it.

36

37 Vice Chair Weinstein: The issue of the idling buses is an issue I was not aware of and we should consider  
38 this.

39

40 Jeffery Hinderliter: Maybe there is a location in the parking lots that the buses can go.

41

1 Chair Linda Mailhot: That should be included in the plan to ease the public.

2

3 Jeffery Hinderliter: There were a couple of comments about lighting and noise.

4

5 Neil Raposa, Engineer from Civil Consultants: There is a lighting plan and anything that the public would  
6 like to add for lighting so there are no dark corners.

7

8 Chair Linda Mailhot: We will review this more and come back to it in September.

9

10 **ITEM 8**

11 **Proposal: Conditional Use, Home occupation, psychic readings**

12 **Action: Discussion, Final Ruling**

13 **Owner: Mary and Greg Desjardins**

14 **Location: 94 Saco Ave, MBL 206-5-10**

15

16 Jeffery Hinderliter, Town Planner: It is a conditional use so there is 60 days after the public hearing that  
17 the PB has to rule. The property is leased and not owned by the applicant. The town attorney said that  
18 we can move forward as long as there is a condition applied to eventual ownership. The majority of the  
19 people around this area want this to remain residential and the purpose of a home occupation is to look  
20 like a home, the lights were more of an issue than the sign. An additional abutter left me a voicemail  
21 saying that this would decrease property value. Does the PB feel comfortable with the condition of  
22 owner ship—there are two more conditions I would like to add which are that the approval is subject to  
23 the home occupation operator/leaser purchase of the property on or before a certain date. The  
24 municipal license associated to the home occupation is subject to this condition. There is a dumpster out  
25 there that needs to be shielded on three sides. The PB should consider times of operation as well.

26

27 Ryan Kelly: On the site walk the occupant said that they would close at 6pm

28

29 Neal Weinstein, applicant attorney: They would be open form 9am-9pm in the summer and close at  
30 6pm in the winter.

31

32 Chair Linda Mailhot: What would the condition look like so that they do not operate until the purchase  
33 goes through?

34

35 Jeffery Hinderliter: A business license shall not be approved until the building is purchased.

36

37 Mike Fortunato: Does code enforcement come and check the house?

38

39 Attorney Weinstein: All town departments have an inspection that they do before we can get a license.

40

41 Mike Fortunato: What about the lighting that was mentioned by abutters?

1  
2 Jeffery Hinderliter: Lighting for the business is permitted but holiday lights around the house and sign  
3 are different. The reason we are scrutinizing this issue, although it was a business is because of the  
4 minimum lot size; the two units takes up the entire square footage of that lot, they would need an extra  
5 amount of square footage to establish a business.

6  
7 Chair Linda Mailhot: Are there questions about the sign? Two square feet is what is permissible, and  
8 there is a 12 foot sign that has always been there currently.

9  
10 Attorney Weinstein: The sign has always been there since it was a real estate office and is allowed under  
11 the zoning ordinance.

12  
13 Mike Fortunato: I say we leave the sign as is, it is not currently 12 feet anyway.

14  
15 Win Winch: There should be a condition that the sign not be lighted.

16  
17 Chair Linda Mailhot: I am hearing that the business license not be granted until purchased by the  
18 applicant, that the existing sign be able to remain but not be back lit or illuminated, that the dumpster  
19 must be shielded on three sides and that the hours will be 9-9 in the summer and close at 6 in the  
20 winter.

21  
22 Ryan Kelly: The lights in the trees should only be on during business hours.

23  
24 Chair Linda Mailhot: A fifth condition is that decorative lighting used on the exterior only be on during  
25 business hours.

26  
27 **Motion to approve with these conditions by Win Winch, second by Mike Fortunato.**

28 Win Winch – Yes

29 Mike Fortunato – Yes

30 Ryan Kelley – Yes

31 Chair Mailhot – Yes

32 Vice Chair Weinstein - Abstained

33 **Motion Carried (4-0-1)**

34

35

36 Other Business:

37

38 Meagan McLaughlin: At the workshop you received letters from Paradise Park and they are doing an  
39 outreach survey to the neighbors and I was approached by the neighbors saying that they didn't like the  
40 survey and there is a memo for September about that.

41

1 Chair Linda Mailhot: There was a letter generated to the developers about the red brick house.

2

3 Jeffery Hinderliter: A letter was sent to the developers requesting that the developers address the  
4 planning boards concerns and come up with a plan of what they are going to do with the house. The PB  
5 cannot enforce conditions or ordinances and Linda sent a letter to code enforcement and code sent a  
6 letter and the due date for compliance was [August 10].

7

8 Chair Linda Mailhot: Is there an update on the deck on Saco Ave?

9

10 Meagan McLaughlin: The owner came into the office to ask for a sign permit and there was a  
11 miscellaneous appeal application, so it appears they will be going before the Zoning Board of Appeals  
12 later in August.

13

14 Chair Linda Mailhot: As I drive by the Omni Inn I see that the parking signs that were supposed to be up  
15 June 1<sup>st</sup> haven't been put up yet.

16

17 Jeffery Hinderliter: The owners of the Omni Inn have been notified twice about that.

18

19 Vice Chair Weinstein: The curb stops with the unit numbers are not there.

20

21 Good and Welfare

22

23 Owner of Psychic Readings on Saco Ave: Can I run the business before the closing of the house?

24

25 Chair Linda Mailhot: No, because you don't own the house yet and must to run a home business.

26

27 Adjournment at 9:47 pm.

28

29 *I, Rebekka Joensen, Secretary to the Planning Board of the Town of Old Orchard Beach, do*  
30 *hereby certify that the foregoing document consisting of Twelve (12) pages is a true copy of the*  
31 *original minutes of the Planning Board Meeting of August 10, 2017*