Call to Order:	7:02 pm	Call to Order
Pledge of Alle	giance	Pledge of Allegiance
Roll Call: Chair Eber Weinstein, Mark Koenigs, Win Winch, Linda Mailhot, Mike Fortunato. Staff Present: Jeffrey Hinderliter; Planner, Valdine Camire; Administrative Assistant.		Roll Call
Public Hearing	S	
ITEM 1 Proposal: Owner: Location:	Conditional Use: Establish Accessory Dwelling Unit Lance Foss 3 Idlewild Ave., MBL: 206-16-3, R1	<u>ITEM 1</u>
Applicant Lanc finalize this age	e Foss introduced himself to the Board Members hoping to enda item.	
-	o one else speaking for or against this proposal, the public hearing public at 7:03pm.	
ITEM 2 Proposal: Owner: Location:	Major Subdivision Amendment: Revise location of two apartment buildings, parking and stormwater systems New Heritage Builders Smithwheel Rd. (Colindale Apartments)., MBL: 107-2-1, R4 & ID	<u>ITEM 2</u>
-	o one speaking for or against this proposal, the public hearing public at 7:04 pm.	
Regular Meeti	ng	
APPROVAL OF	MINUTES	
	meeting minutes to approve. Valdine Camire is working on the ses that we have access to.	
<u>ITEM 3</u> Proposal: Action: Owner: Location:	Conditional Use: Establish Accessory Dwelling Unit Discussion; Final Ruling Lance Foss 3 Idlewild Ave., MBL: 206-16-3, R1	<u>ITEM 3</u>
-	iter explained that he was not at the site walk so he was not able cture as complete, however the key is to make sure that the	

accessory dwelling unit meets the accessory dwelling unit standards (the five criteria) and as far as he understands, it was originally designed to meet these standards. As long as the Planning Board feels that it meets the accessory dwelling unit standards as well as the 12 review criteria, Jeffrey recommends that the Planning Board approve the accessory dwelling unit. Chair Weinstein asked if this unit is 500+ sq. ft. or better. Jeffrey Hinderliter states that this is 500+ square feet.	
Chair Weinstein read through the 12 Conditional Use Review Criteria:	
1.) The proposed use will not result in significant hazards to pedestrian or	
vehicular traffic, on-site or off-site.	
2.) The proposed use will not create or increase any fire hazard.	
3.) The proposed use will provide adequate off-street parking and loading	
areas.	
4.) The proposed use will not cause water pollution, sedimentation, erosion,	
or contamination of any water supply.	
5.) The proposed use will not create unhealthful conditions because of	
smoke, dust of other air borne contaminants.	
6.) The proposed use will not create nuisances to neighboring properties	
because of odors, fumes, glare, hours of operation, noise, vibration or	
fire hazard or unreasonably restrict access of light and air to neighboring	
properties.	
7.) The proposed use will provide adequate waste disposal systems for all	
solid and liquid wastes generated by the use.	
8.) The proposed use will not adversely affect the value of adjacent	
properties.	
9.) The proposed use will be compatible with existing uses in the	
neighborhood, with respect to the generation of noise and hours of	
operation.	
10.)The applicant's proposal must include any special screening or buffering	
necessary to visually obstruct the subject property from abutting uses or	
to ensure the continued enjoyment of abutting uses.	
11.)The applicant's proposal must adequately provide for drainage through and for preservation or existing topography within its location,	
particularly in minimizing any cut, fill, or paving intended.	
12.)The applicant must be found to have adequate financial and technical	
capacity to satisfy the criteria in this section and to develop and	
thereafter maintain the proposed project or use in accordance with all	
applicable requirements.	
Linda Mailhot made a motion to approve the Accessory Dwelling, seconded by	MOTION
Win Winch.	

	liter called for the vote:	VOTE
Mark Koenigs Linda Mailhot		(5.0)
Mike Fortunat		(5-0)
Win Winch – Y		
Chair Weinstei		
ITEM 4		ITEM 4
Proposal: Action:	Major Subdivision Amendment: Revise location of two apartment buildings, parking and stormwater systems Discussion; Final Ruling	
Owner:	New Heritage Builders	
Location:	Smithwheel Rd. (Colindale Apartments)., MBL: 107-2-1, R4 & ID	
	Project Manager for BH2M here representing the applicant, nself to the Board Members.	
•	brought the Board Members up to date since the last meeting n September 2015.	
	osing the 2 buildings shown on the plans (16 unit and 12 unit	
	as prepared a letter submitted as part of the requirements.	
	Works Director Mark Guimond had a number of questions which	
he and Mr. Th	ompson responded back and forth through various emails. Mr. w satisfied with the project.	
Fire Chief Rick	Plummer has submitted a letter also indicating acceptance of the	
	hydrant on site and fire protection needs will meet this project.	
to be heated?	estions from the last meeting was how were these buildings going Mr. Thompson explained that these will be heated with high pumps which will run by electricity.	
	orm water infiltration from the parking, the two buildings will be	
treated with ir	filtration within the parking lot. Drywall systems with pipes that	
	of them. They have a report ready to submit to DEP as an official	
	DEP is looking favorably at this type of storm water management.	
	its 137" down and found no groundwater.	
	the parking in the front to break it up and eliminated 3 parking dditional parking spaces and didn't need them so they are putting	
-	the break up that pavement stretch in front of the buildings.	
	parking spaces and they only need 128. This building will be	
	vater will be extended up to the building.	
Mr. Thompsor	stated that there has been a question that has come up very	
-	an existing R.O.W. from this site Northwesterly to the Blow	
	re is a R.O.W. but was never shown through any of the phases of	
this developm	ent. That strip of land in the back was totally encumbered by the	

R.OW. does a The 1988 sur from the Coli also poorly d and meet wit Hinderliter st anyways to ti family to see a condition. I then it would Linda Mailho any of the sta disperse wat	nt so there was never any intent to encroach on that. This 50 ft. go across that CMP easement. vey shows it on there but poorly identified. The deed that went ndale property to the Blow Brothers identifies the R.O.W. but this is escribed. He explained that they need to do a little more research the Blow family to find out what it is supposed to be. Jeffrey cated that the project cannot begin until DEP approval is secured here may be a window where the applicant can work with the Blow if they can get something resolved so we wouldn't need to make it f the R.O.W. shows that some plan adjustments need to be made, a need to come back to the Planning Board for approval anyways. t asked Mr. Thompson if he could clarify that there is no way that form water runoff (with the system that has been designed) will er into the adjoining properties? Bill Thompson stated that this is a 50 or 100 year storm. It will be totally infiltrated.	
Win Winch m Linda Mailho	nade a motion to table this item without prejudice, seconded by t.	MOTION
Jeffrey Hinde	erliter called for the vote:	<u>VOTE</u>
Mark Koenig		
Linda Mailho		<u>(4-0-1)</u>
Mike Fortuna Win Winch –		
Chair Weinst		
ITEM 5		ITEM 5
Proposal: Action:	Site Plan: Construct 4,000 sq. ft. equipment building Preliminary Plan Review; Schedule Site Walk; Schedule Public Hearing	
Owner:	Hearing Town of Old Orchard Beach	
Location:	24 Manor St. (Waste Water Treatment Facility), MBL: 108-1-2, R3	
Wastewater Superintendent Chris White introduced himself. They are proposing a 50' x 80' building. They are currently working with an engineer to get a better drawing. Mr. White explained that the project came late in the budgeting process. They got through the budgeting process and the existing building had a partial collapse. They are trying to get it built before we get into snow and cold weather. Currently do not have a place to store generators, portable pumps, vehicles etc. There are no plans to add portable water. They would put their own plant water to it for wash down for vehicles. There will be drainage inside of the building. The plan for stormwater is whatever comes off of the roof would just go to infiltration. Will put crushed rock around the building. There		

will be no bathrooms. The only thing inside of the building would be a mezzanine for parts, storage, supplies. They will be storing 2 service trucks, a loader, 4 portable generators, 2 portable pumps, lawn/grounds keeping equipment as well as a lay down area for working on pumps/equipment. Mr. White stated that he will get more information and better drawings in the next week or so. Chair Weinstein agreed that they do need a better plan. Linda Mailhot asked whether there will be oil/water separator's for vehicle fluids, etc. Mr. White explained that because it is an existing wastewater facility, they have access to a small yard drain, so it would go to the yard drain which then pumps it back up to the front of the facility for treatment. They scheduled the site walk for 5:30 pm on November 5, 2015 and the public hearing is scheduled for November 12, 2015. Chair Weinstein asked Mr. White to stake off where the building will be located when they have the site walk.	
ITEM 6Proposal:Sawgrass Subdivision Amendment: Approved 40 unit condo \ project modified into a 22 unit single family house lot projectAction:Applicant Update; Schedule Final ReviewOwner:Sawgrass LLCLocation:Wild Dunes Way (Dunegrass Sections J & L- Sawgrass); MBL: 105A, Lot 1, PMUD	<u>ITEM 6</u>
Jason Vafiadis with FST is here representing Ronald and Barbara Boutet for a subdivision amendment. They are looking to go forward and get approved. This has been tabled from a couple of meetings ago. They are changing grades from the road and also making them to conform to town code. Chair Weinstein asked Jeffrey Hinderliter if he could go back and find out if Cherry Hills was a full subdivision or an amendment.	
Mr. Hinderliter stated that this gets confusing because the interim Public Works Director has a different philosophy on improvements then our former Public Works Director.	
The interim Public Works Director Mark Guimond provided comments but staff still has to go over these comments with him. This is why it is scheduled as an update to the Planning Board before the final review. Public Works issues need to be worked out. Part of these issues is street lighting. They had discussed these issues in the past, but light symbols were not in the current plan. We have identified (4) locations for street lighting. 2 accesses for Wild Dunes Way access to Longcove Drive. Also 1 intersection where Island Drive and Ponteverda Drive.	

Mr. Hinderliter mentioned that street lighting is one of the issues that has continued to come up.	
Jason Vafiadis stated that they have some recommendations from the Fire Chief. Chris White from the Water Company provided some comments and there will be additional staff comments.	
Staff and applicant will work these out before the final plan submission and we will be very clear as to what waivers are requested as well.	
Win Winch asked about the issue with the maintenance of the sewer.Mr. Hinderliter stated that these issues are still being worked out	
Mr. Winch also asked who maintains public sewer on a private road?Mr. Hinderliter stated that it varies. The final pump station hasn't been built at Cherry Hills, it is still the temporary pump station.	
Chair Weinstein also mentioned that the storm water still needs to be taken care of.	
Mr. Hinderliter stated that what changed from January is some of the written responses as opposed to the plans. He also stated that It was tabled without prejudice last time, so they do not need a site walk or a public hearing.	
Chair Weinstein asked about lot #1 which has a driveway near the intersection. Mr. Hinderliter stated that this gets into the 50' spacing and that this could be part of the waiver request. Hoping to have a finalized review for the next meeting.	
Win Winch made a motion to table this item without prejudice until the next meeting. Michael Fortunato seconded the motion.	MOTION
<i>Jeffrey Hinderliter called for the vote:</i> Mark Koenigs – Yes	VOTE
Linda Mailhot – Yes Mike Fortunato – Yes	(5-0)
Win Winch – Yes	
Chair Weinstein - Yes	
ITEM 7 Branasal: Conditional Use /Belocation of Non Conforming Structures:	<u>ITEM 7</u>
Proposal: Conditional Use/Relocation of Non-Conforming Structures: Remove two detached residential units and replace with one	
residential duplex.	
Action: Ruling on Application: Table/Withdrawal	

Owner:	Estate of Stanley Weinstein Et Al	
Location:	2 Puffin St., MBL: 303-7-2, BRD and Limited Commercial	
	Districts	
This proposal is place.	to remove 2 residential cottages and to construct 1 duplex in its	
Jeffrey Hinderli meeting and di would be unwi meet some of t The applicant c build within the require Plannir Hinderliter gav the application	ter met with the applicant before the September Planning Board scussed some of the standards. He advised the applicant that it se to move forward because he didn't think it would successfully the non-conforming structure provisions. lecided to take a different approach to remove the cottage and e same exact footprint and the cottage elevated. This does not be Board review, so it will be under code enforcement. Mr. e the applicant the option to formerly request the withdrawal of . Mr. Hinderliter's recommendation is for the Planning Board without prejudice.	
Win Winch ma Mike Fortunato	de a motion to table this item without prejudice. Seconded by o.	MOTION
	iter called for the vote:	VOTE
Mark Koenigs - Linda Mailhot -		(4-0-1)
Mike Fortunato		()
Win Winch – Ye	es	
Chair Weinstei	n - Abstain	
<u>ITEM 8</u> Proposal:	Conditional Use (Home Occupation): Establish Bakery within	<u>ITEM 8</u>
Action:	existing accessory structure Ruling	
Owner: Location:	Ruling on Application: Table/Withdrawal 165 Portland Ave., MBL: 103-6-4, RD	
Planning Board council was tha Jeffrey gave the	ter stated that the Applicant did not show up at last month's meeting and is not here at this meeting. Advice from our legal at this could not be approved because of the retail sales standard. em the option to withdrawal the application. We received om the applicant.	MOTION
Win Winch man seconded the r	de a motion to table this without prejudice. Mike Fortunato notion.	<u></u>
Jeffrey Hinderl	iter called for the vote:	

Mark Koenigs	– Yes	VOTE
Linda Mailhot		
Mike Fortunat	to – Yes	
Win Winch –	Yes	<u>(5-0)</u>
Chair Weinste	in - Yes	
Design Review	v Certificates	Design Review
		<u>Certificates</u>
<u>ITEM 9</u> Proposal:	Demolish former parsonage	ITEM 9
Action:	Review application; Discussion; Certificate of Appropriateness	
Action.	Decision	
Owner:	Good Shepard Parish	
Location:	6 Saco Ave., MBL: 206-30-1, DD-2	
Jeffrey Hinder	liter stated that this is a good example for re-visiting some of our	
ordinances. F	or a demolition and a parking lot construction, there are very few	
Design Standa	rds because the Design Review Standards typically deal with	
buildings, and	a parking lot is actually a structure (by definition), not a building.	
In the DD-2 di	strict, when it comes to constructing parking lots, the parking lots	
	d a complimentary use, and as a complimentary use it only falls	
-	sdiction of Code Enforcement. Mr. Hinderliter stated that he will	
be discussing	these Design Review Standards with the Board this winter.	
The Design Re	view Committee decided to split the application by taking care of	
-	n portion first then taking care of the parking lot landscaping	
	ter date scheduled to be on the second of November.	
•	ommendation is for the Planning Board to conditionally approve	
	blition of the parsonage with the following (3) conditions:	
1) Allim	provements will be in accordance with the application, plans and	
-	isal received. Any additional changes must be approved by staff	
• •	to completion	
•	pleted landscaping plan for the entire site shall be submitted to	
	esign Review Committee by 2 November 2015.	
	tion of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the	
	ng lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan	
	lemented.	
This also need	Is permits through the code office for demolition.	
Good Sheparc	ade a motion to issue a Certificate of Appropriateness for the Good I Parish for demolition at 6 Saco Ave., MBL: 206-30-1, in the DD-2 owing conditions:	<u>MOTION</u>

 All improvements will be in accordance with the application, plans and proposal received. Any additional changes must be approved by staff prior to completion A completed landscaping plan for the entire site shall be submitted to the Design Review Committee by 2 November 2015. Execution of the landscaping plan shall be done prior to paving of the parking lot. The parking lot shall not be used until the landscaping plan is implemented. 	
Seconded by Linda Mailhot. Members discussed their concern with prominent Old Orchard buildings that have great character and significance to the town being torn down instead of protecting and keeping them, however understandably, it is the owners right to do what they want with these buildings. It's unfortunate to see history being destroyed.	
Jeffrey Hinderliter called for the vote:	<u>VOTE</u>
Mark Koenigs – No Linda Mailhot – Yes Mike Fortunato – Yes Win Winch – Yes Chair Weinstein - Yes	<u>(4-1)</u>
Other Business : Jeffrey Hinderliter announced that there is a new Board Member that will be joining the Planning Board. Jim Daley will be the new Planning Board Member. He will be an alternate.	Other Business
Good & Welfare: Mark Koenigs mentioned that he will not be at the November meeting. Mr. Koenigs also mentioned that he would like to get the status of the brick building that is located at the Orchard Estates on Portland Avenue. Mr. Hinderliter stated that he and the developer will be getting together and will email the Board Members on the status. Mr. Koenigs stated that the Board needs to be very cognizant when we make our conditions on things and to make sure that we understand what we are trying to communicate. He was under the assumption that the brick building was going to be re-developed or saved. Chair Weinstein asked Mr. Hinderliter to add this to a workshop item to review. As another point of interest, Mr. Koenigs stated that we also need to be more cognizant when an engineer puts a note on the plans that says approved by DPW Director, etc. This person is not always the same individual. We need to be more definitive. Chair Weinstein would also like the discussion of the Sawgrass trail as an item on next month's agenda. Some of the Board Members were also under the impression that there was supposed to be a sidewalk going down the south side	Good & Welfare

of Heath Street. Chair Weinstein would like to put this as an agenda item as a workshop item. Mr. Hinderliter stated that there will be a Comprehensive Plan meeting scheduled in October to wrap up the Future Land Use Plan.	
On a positive note, Mr. Koenigs stated that the people who volunteered and put their efforts in developing the skate park, working on the Ballpark and gardens should be commended.	
Adjournment at 8:32pm	Adjournment

I, Valdine Camire, Administrative Assistant to the Planning Board of the Town of Old Orchard Beach, do hereby certify that the foregoing document consisting of ten (10) pages is a true copy of the original minutes of the Planning Board Meeting of October 8, 2015.

Jaldine Lanire